UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of New Mexico

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V.

Omar Silva-Pena

Judgment in a Criminal Case

(For **Revocation** of Probation or Supervised Release)

Case Number: 2:10CR02173-001JB

USM Number: 68420-180

Defense Attorney: Jean McCray, Appointed

THE DEFEND.	ANT:	
_	uilt to violations of condition(s) MC of the term of in violation of condition(s) after denial of guilt.	of supervision.
Γhe defendant i	is adjudicated guilty of these violations:	
Violation Number	Nature of Violation	Violation Ended
1	Mandatory Condition - "The defendant shall no state, or local crime."	at commit another federal, 03/01/10
Γhe defendant i Reform Act of 1		nis judgment. The sentence is imposed pursuant to the Sentencing
☐ The defend	dant has not violated condition(s) and is discharge	ed as to such violation(s).
		United States attorney for this district within 30 days of any change of sts, and special assessments imposed by this judgment are fully paid.
		August 3, 2010
Defendant's So	c. Sec. No.	Date of Imposition of Judgment
10/17/1983		/s/ James O. Browning
Defendant's Date of Birth		Signature of Judge
5215 Col Dale C.P. 31050		Honorable James O. Browning United States District Judge
Cuauhtemoc, (
Defendant's Re	sidence Address	Name and Title of Judge
		August 26, 2010
Defendant's Ma	ailing Address	Date Signed
County of Resi	dence	

AO 245D (Rev. 12/03) Judgment in a Criminal Case for Revocations

Sheet 2 Imprisonment Judgment Page 2 of 2

Defendant: Omar Silva-Pena Case Number: 2:10CR02173-001JB

IMPRISONMENT

The defendant is committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of 4 months.

Said term shall run concurrently to the District of New Mexico, Case No. 2:10CR02163-001JB.

Although advisory, the Court has considered the Guidelines and, in arriving at its sentence, has taken account of the Guidelines with other sentencing goals. Specifically, the Court has considered the Guidelines` sentencing range established for the applicable category of offense committed by the applicable category of Defendant. The Court believes that the Guidelines` punishment is appropriate for this sort of offense. Therefore, the sentence in this judgment is consistent with a guideline sentence. The Court has considered the kind of sentence and range established by the Guidelines. The Court believes that a sentence of 4 months reflects the seriousness of the offense, promotes respect for the law, provides just punishment, affords adequate deterrence, protects the public and effectively provides the Defendant with needed education or vocational training and medical care, and otherwise fully reflects each of the factors embodied in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a). The Court also believes the sentence is reasonable. The Court believes the sentence is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes set forth in the Sentencing Reform Act.

	The court makes these recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons:		
	The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal. The defendant must surrender to the United States Marshal for this district: at on as notified by the United States Marshal. The defendant must surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons: before 2 p.m. on as notified by the United States Marshal as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Service Office.		
RETURN			
I have executed this judgment by:			
Defendant delivered ontotothe a Certified copy of this judgment.			
	UNITED STATES MARSHAL		
	Deputy United States Marshal		